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ABSTRACT

The first version of German Highway Capacity Manual was published in 2001. Now, a new version is published in 2015 (HBS 2015). For the new German Highway Capacity Manual, most major chapters are revised and some of them are totally rewritten.

The chapter for signalized intersections is one of the most important chapters in a highway capacity manual. In the new German Highway Capacity Manual, this chapter is totally rewritten in accordance with forthcoming developments in the past 10 years.

In this paper, an overview of the chapter for signalized intersections in the new German Highway Capacity Manual is presented. Procedures dealing with capacity and delay analyses are introduced. Differences between the former version and the new version of the German Highway Capacity Manual are indicated and discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Germany has been involved in traffic engineering research since many decades. Initially, more popular calculation procedures were mainly based on deterministic considerations. Publication of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) in the 1950s spurred interest in the use of stochastic elements combined with empirical research in traffic engineering. Until 2001, different calculation procedures used in German traffic engineering applications have never been concentrated into one guideline such as the HCM. Instead, these applications were incorporated in different highway design guidelines. A new era in the development of traffic engineering guidelines for German conditions began when the Federal Department of Transportation (DOT) commissioned the Ruhr-University in 1989 to develop a prototype for a German Highway Capacity Manual (HBS). The first draft for this manual was finished in 1993 and was published a year later by Brilon, et al. (1994).

The first edition of the German Highway Capacity manual (Handbuch fuer die Bemessung von Strassenverkehrsanlagen, HBS 2001) was published in 2001 (FGSV, 2001). It was introduced by the German federal DOT as a methodological
basis for capacity calculations and quality assessments. Similar to the *US Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM 2010; TRB, 2010), HBS 2001 is based on the concept of Level of Service. An overview of the current version of HBS 2001 was given by Brilon (1998).

After its publication, HBS 2001 has found wide applications. Because of the importance for professionals in the practice, the German Road and Transportation Research Association (FGSV) decided to up-date this manual. Goals of the updating work are to revise the existing chapters and to complete the contents as good as possible. In comparison to HBS 2001, the methodologies for assessment should be given in more details in the new edition.

The Institute for Traffic Engineering at Ruhr-University has provided significant contributions to the development of the new *German Highway Capacity Manual* (HBS 2015). A short introduction to HBS 2015 is already given by Wu (2009).

The chapter for signalized intersection in HBS 2015 is totally revised, because some fundamental parameters and calculation procedures are not more up to date. For example, the base saturation flow rate is recalibrated and the delay formula is substituted by a new one which considers also the coordination and peak-hour effect. In HBS 2015, the chapter for signalized intersection is also improved by avoiding overlapping with the *German Guidelines for Traffic Signals* (RiLSA; FGSV, 2010).

In this paper, the major modifications of the chapter for signalized intersections in HBS2015 are presented and discussed.

**GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

As a basic concept, the new HBS 2015 (FGSV, 2015) focuses only on the assessment of traffic performance at signalized intersections given a predefined signal timing plan. The procedure for traffic signal design is referred to RiLSA (FGSV, 2010). That is, in HBS 2015, the green time and the cycle time are given as input parameters which should be estimated beforehand according to RiLSA. In contrast to the previous HBS 2001, an effective green time is used for further calculation instead of the length of green time. The effective green is defined as the green time plus 1 second. That is,

\[ g_e = g + 1 \]  

with \( g \) = green time, s  
\( g_e \) = effective green, s

The base saturation flow rate \( S_0 \) is 2,000 pc/h/ln. That is, a base saturation headway \( t_{H,0} = 1.8 \) s is used for the base condition. For taking account external conditions, the base saturation headway is than adjusted as following.

\[ t_H = f_{HV} \cdot f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdot t_{H,0} \]  

with \( t_H \) = adjusted saturation headway, s  
\( f_1 \) = max \((f_b, f_{RS}, f_s)\), -
\[ f_2 = \min(1, f_s), \]  
\[ f_{HV} = \text{adjustment factor for heavy vehicles in traffic stream}, \]  
\[ f_b = \text{adjustment factor for lane width } b, \]  
\[ f_R = \text{adjustment factor for tuning radius } R, \]  
\[ t_{H,0} = \text{base saturation headway, } s \]  
\[ = 1.8 \text{ s} \]

The adjustment factor for heavy vehicles in traffic stream is calculated as

\[
f_{HV} = \frac{q_L + 1.75 \cdot q_{\text{truck+bus}} + 2.5 \cdot q_{\text{trailer}}}{q_{\text{veh}}}
\]  
(2)

with

\[ f_{HV} = \text{adjustment factor for heavy vehicles in traffic stream}, \]  
\[ q_L = \text{flow rate of light vehicles (cars, vans, and motorcycles), veh/h} \]  
\[ q_{\text{truck+bus}} = \text{flow rate of trucks and buses, veh/h} \]  
\[ q_{\text{trailer}} = \text{flow rate of trailers, veh/h} \]  
\[ q_{\text{veh}} = \text{total flow rate, veh/h} \]  
\[ = q_L + q_{\text{truck+bus}} + q_{\text{trailer}} \]

The adjustment factor for lane width \( s \), tuning radius \( R \), and approach grade \( s \) can be obtained from Figure 1.

Figure 1. Adjustment factor for lane width \( b \), turning radius \( R \), and approach grade \( s \).
One can recognize that maximum only three adjustment factors are applied. The adjusted saturation flow rate $S$ is then calculated as

$$ S = \frac{3600}{t_H}, \text{veh/h/ln} \quad (3) $$

**CAPACITY ESTIMATION**

Capacities for different movements and different lane configurations (critical points, cf. Figure 2) can be calculated in HBS 2015:

1. Capacity of protected signal groups
2. Capacity of permitted or partially permitted left-turn movements
3. Capacity of permitted or partially permitted right-turn movements
4. Capacity of share lanes, and
5. Capacity of share short-lanes

![Figure 2. Critical points of capacity estimation at signalized intersections.](image)

**Capacity of protected signal groups**

The capacity of protected signal groups is simply the product of the saturation flow rate and departure ratio. That is,

$$ c = \frac{g_c}{C} \cdot S, \text{veh/h/ln} \quad (4) $$

**Capacity of permitted or partially permitted left-turn movements**

The capacity of permitted or partially permitted left-turn movements is calculated as follows.

$$ c_L = \min \left\{ \frac{c_{pm} + c_{pc} + c_{pt}}{c_{0,L}} \right\} \quad (5) $$

with

- $c_L = $ total capacity of the permitted or partially permitted left-turn movement, veh/h/ln
- $c_{pm} = $ capacity during permitted period (modified formulation), veh/h/ln
  
  \[ = f(q_o) \]
\( q_o = \) opposing flow rate, veh/h
\( c_{pc} = \) capacity as a result of sneakers during phase changing, veh/h/ln
\( c_{pt} = \) capacity during the protected period, veh/h/ln
\( c_{0,L} = \) capacity in case of totally protected control, veh/h/ln

The capacity during permitted period \( c_{pm} \) is calculated according to the gap-acceptance theory. This capacity can be obtained using Figure 3.

**Figure 3. Capacity during permitted period for left-turn movements.**

**Capacity of permitted or partially permitted right-turn movements**

The capacity of permitted or partially permitted right-turn movements is calculated using the following formula.

\[
c_R = \min \left\{ \frac{t_{0,ped} \cdot S + n_R \cdot n_C}{C}, c_{0,R} \right\}
\]

with \( c_R = \) total capacity of the permitted or partially permitted right-turn moment, veh/h/ln
\( C = \) cycle time, s
\( S = \) saturation flow rate of the right-turn lane, veh/h/ln
\( t_{0,ped} = \) residual green time without impedance by pedestrians or cyclists, s
\( n_R = \) number of possible waiting places between stop line and parallel crossing pedestrians/cyclists, veh
\( n_C = \) number of cycles each hour of time, -
\( = \frac{3600}{C} \)
\( c_{0,R} = \) capacity in case of totally protected control, veh/h/ln

The residual green time for the right-turn movement without impedance by pedestrians or cyclists is

\[
t_{0,ped} = \max \left\{ 0, g - t_{occ} + t_{adv} - n_R \cdot t_H \right\}
\]

(7)
with \( t_{0,ped} \) = residual green time without impedance by parallel crossing pedestrians or cyclists, s
\( g \) = green time for the right-turn movement, s
\( t_{acc} \) = proportion of green time occupied by parallel crossing pedestrians/cyclists at the beginning of green time, s
\( adv \) = advance green time for parallel crossing pedestrians/cyclists, s
\( n_R \) = number of possible waiting places between stop line and parallel crossing pedestrians/cyclists, veh
\( t_H \) = saturation headway of the right-turn movement, s

The proportion of green time \( t_{acc} \) occupied by parallel crossing pedestrians/cyclists at the beginning of green time is calibrated by measurements. It can be obtained using Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Proportion of green time \( t_{acc} \) occupied by parallel crossing pedestrians/cyclists at the beginning of green time.](image)

**Capacity of share lanes and shared short-lanes**

The capacity of share lanes can be easily calculated using the well-known formula

\[
c_{sh} = \frac{\sum q_i}{\sum c_i}, \text{ veh/h/ln} \quad (8)
\]

However, if there is an additional short lane for the tuning movement at the approach (cf. Figure 5), the capacity would be underestimated by this formula. In HBS 2015, a new procedure (Wu, 1997; Wu, 2007) is incorporated for dealing this problem.
In general, the capacity of a shared short-lane is a function of different parameters. If the capacity within a cycle time is considered, the capacity of a shared short-lane can be expressed as (cf. Figure 6)

\[ n_{c,K} = f(n_{c,1}, n_{c,2}, a_1, a_2, n_K) \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

with

- \( n_{c,K} \) = capacity of the shared short-lane within a cycle time, veh
- \( n_{c,1}, n_{c,2} \) = capacity of separate lane 1 and 2 within a cycle time, veh
- \( n_K \) = number of waiting places within the short-lane, veh
- \( a_1, a_2 \) = proportion of flows of stream 1 and 2, -

Figure 6. Parameters for capacity of shared short-lanes.

For lane configurations depicted in Figure 5, monographs are provided in order to estimate the capacity of the shared short-lanes both for overlapped and not-overlapped green times. Figure 7 show an example for the parameters \( n_K = 3 \) und \( a_2 = 0.3 \). For partially overlapped green time the capacity can be obtained by interpolation.

The hourly capacity of the shared short-lane is than

\[ c_K = n_{c,K} \cdot 3600 / C, \text{ veh/h/ln} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)
The criterion of effectiveness is the performance of operation. The main criteria for signalized intersections in HBS 2015 are delays. For automobile and public transport on public transport only lane, the averaged delay \( d \) is used for defining Level of Service. For pedestrians and cyclists, the maximum delay \( d_{\text{max}} \), is the criteria (see Table 1).

### Table 1. LOS Criteria for signalized intersections in HBS 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>Automobile</th>
<th>Public transport on public transport only lane</th>
<th>Pedestrian and cyclist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average delay ( d ) [s]</td>
<td>Maximum delay ( d_{\text{max}} ) [s]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>( \leq 20 )</td>
<td>( \leq 5 )</td>
<td>( \leq 30 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>( \leq 35 )</td>
<td>( \leq 15 )</td>
<td>( \leq 40 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>( \leq 50 )</td>
<td>( \leq 25 )</td>
<td>( \leq 55 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>( \leq 70 )</td>
<td>( \leq 40 )</td>
<td>( \leq 70 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>( &gt; 70 )</td>
<td>( \leq 60 )</td>
<td>( \leq 85 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>( \ldots 1))</td>
<td>( &gt; 60 )</td>
<td>( \geq 85 2))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) The LOS F is always decisive for \( q > c \).
2) Maximum cycle time (90 s) minus 5 s.

The average delay \( d \) for automobile is calculated according to the procedures in the following section. The maximum delay \( d_{\text{max}} \) for pedestrians and cyclists is equal to the red time \( r = C - g_e \).

### NEW DELAY CALCULATION PROCEDURE

The average delay for automobile is calculated according to a model developed in Germany (Brilon and Wu, 1990; Wu, 1997; Wu, 2014). The model can
take into account the instationarity in the peak-hour using an instationarity factor $f_{in}$. The instationarity factor $f_{in}$ is calculated according to the flow pattern in the peak-hour. In HBS 2015, a more realistic approximation (cf. flow pattern HBS 2015 in Figure 8, left) is used. This approximation can better take into account the peak-hour effect compared to the approximation in HBS 2001 (cf. flow pattern HBS 2001 in Figure 8, left). The resulting difference in the delay calculation is depicted in Figure 8, right. For $f_{in} > 1$, the delay values from HBS 2015 are normally higher than the delay values from HBS 2001 in the area between $x = 0.8$ and 1.0 because of the peak-hour effect.

The effect of signal coordination is also considered using a progression adjustment factor $f_{k1}$ and an upstream filtering adjustment factor $f_{k2}$ (cf. also HCM 2010; TRB, 2010). The progression adjustment factor $f_{k1}$ is a function of the proportion of platoon $P_{pl}$ and the arrival time of platoon $t_a$ (see Figure 9). The upstream filtering adjustment factor $f_{k2}$ is a function of the upstream degree of saturation $x_u$. The effect of the actuated signal control is neglected in the delay calculation in HBS 2015.

The average delay at signalized intersections is calculated by the following formula.

\[ d = \frac{C}{g} + \frac{D}{2} + \left( \frac{q_2}{q_1} - 1 \right) \frac{t_a}{T} \]
\[ d = f_{k1} \cdot d_1 + \frac{N_{GE} \cdot 3600}{c} \]  

with 
\[ d \] is average delay, s  
\[ d_1 \] is uniform delay, s  
\[ f_{k1} \] is Progression adjustment factor \(0 \leq f_{k1} \leq 2\),  
\[ P \] is proportion of vehicles arriving on green,  
\[ R_p \] is platoon ratio (see Figure 9, can be obtained from Figure 10),  
\[ q \] is capacity of the signal group, veh/h/ln  
\[ x \] is degree of saturation,  
\[ N_{GE} \] is average queue length at end of green time, veh

Figure 10. Platoon ratio \( R_p \) as a function of the proportion of vehicles in platoon, \( P_{pl} \), and the arriving time of the platoon, \( t_a \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of Vehicles in Platoon, ( P_{pl} )</th>
<th>Platoon Ratio, ( R_p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.0R: At the beginning of red interval (= at the end of green interval)  
0.5R: In the middle of red interval  
1.0G: At the beginning of green interval (= at the end of red interval)  
0.5G: In the middle of green interval  
1.0G: At the end of green interval (= at the beginning of red interval), corresponds to 0.0R
\[ \max \left\{ \frac{0.58 \cdot T \cdot c_0}{4} \left[ (f_{in} \cdot x - 1) + \sqrt{(f_{in} \cdot x - 1)^2 + \frac{4 \cdot f_{in} \cdot f_{k2} \cdot x}{0.58 \cdot T \cdot c_0}} \right] \right\} \]

\( T \) = length of peak-hour (normally \( T = 1 \) h), h
\( q_{in} \) = instationarity factor (comparable to peak-hour factor, see Figure 8)
\[ \frac{q_{in}}{q} = 1 + \frac{4 \cdot q_{15}/q_{60} - 1}{1.5} \]
\( f_{k2} \) = upstream filtering adjustment factor (0 ≤ \( f_{k2} \) ≤ 1)
\[ = \max \left\{ 0.09, 1.0 - 0.91 \cdot x_u^{2.68} \right\} \]
\( x_u \) = weighted degree of saturation for all upstream movements contributing to the volume in the subject movement group (cf. HCM 2010), -
\( q_{15} \) = maximum 15-min flow rate, veh/15min/ln
\( q_{60} \) = hourly flow rate, veh/h/ln

SUMMARY

This paper presents the major revisions of the chapter for signalized intersections in the new German Highway Capacity Manual (HBS 2015) which will be published in 2015 (later 2015). The procedures for signalized intersections in HBS 2015 are comparable with the HCM 2010 in many aspects.

Compared to the previous version of German Highway Capacity Manual (HBS 2001), the new version (HBS 2015) is modified in the following aspects:
- No signal design procedure included, signal design is carried out using RiLSA 2010 (FGSV, 2010)
- All procedures are developed for traffic performance assessments
- A effective green time \( g_e = g + 1 \) is used
- More accurate adjustment factors for saturation flow rate
- A new model for delay calculation accounting for coordination and instationarity in peak-hour
- New procedures for shared short-lanes
- New values for LOS definition

It should be noted, that for intersections with same input data, the new version of German Highway Capacity Manual (HBS 2015) will deliver different LOS values compared to the old version (HBS 2001).

The proposed paper shows the expertise of our institute in research on capacity and service quality analysis. It demonstrates the experience in developing highway capacity manuals in Germany and as a partner in the United States. Those expertise and experience can give the audience and institutes involving in research on capacity models and in developing capacity manuals useful knowledge and help them avoiding unnecessary errors and mistakes.
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